arXiv:1201.2096v2 [math.FA] 9 Aug 2012

# Fréchet frames, general definition and expansions

Stevan Pilipović and Diana T. Stoeva

August 10, 2012

**Abstract**

We define an $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$-*frame* with Banach spaces $X_2 \subseteq X_1$, $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|_2$, and a $BK$-space $(\Theta, |||\cdot|||)$. Then by the use of decreasing sequences of Banach spaces $\{X_s\}_{s=0}^\infty$ and of sequence spaces $\{\Theta_s\}_{s=0}^\infty$, we define a general Fréchet frame on the Fréchet space $X_F = \bigcap_{s=0}^\infty X_s$. We give frame expansions of elements of $X_F$ and its dual $X_F^*$, as well of some of the generating spaces of $X_F$ with convergence in appropriate norms. Moreover, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a general pre-Fréchet frame to be a general Fréchet frame, as well as for the complementedness of the range of the analysis operator $U : X_F \to \Theta_F$.

*Keywords:* general pre-Fréchet frame, general Fréchet frame, $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$-frame

*MSC 2000:* 42C15, 46A13

## 1 Introduction

For given Fréchet spaces $X_F = \bigcap_{s=0}^\infty X_s$ and $\Theta_F = \bigcap_{s=0}^\infty \Theta_s$ ($X_s$ and $\Theta_s$ are Banach space and Banach sequence space with the norms $\|\cdot\|_s$ and $|||\cdot|||_s$, respectively), in [6], we have determined conditions on a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $g_i \in X_F^*$, which imply the existence of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $f_i \in X_F$, such that every $f \in X_F$ and every $g \in X_F^*$ can be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g(f_i) g_i$. These conditions are related to the frame inequalities

$$\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F \quad \text{and} \quad A_s \|f\|_s \leq |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_s \leq B_k \|f\|_s, \ f \in X_F, s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In the present paper we are concerned with the series expansions via more general sequences in Fréchet spaces allowing different norms in the inequalities given above, namely,

$$\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F \quad \text{and} \quad A_k \|f\|_{s_k} \leq |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_k \leq B_k \|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_k}, \ f \in X_F, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

---

[1] This research was supported by Ministry of Science of Serbia, Project 174024. The work of the second author was also partially supported by DAAD and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) START-project FLAME ('Frames and Linear Operators for Acoustical Modeling and Parameter Estimation'; Y 551-N13).

where $\{s_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{\widetilde{s}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are increasing subsequences of $\mathbb{N}_0$. The statements in [6, Theorem 5.3](b)(c) give sufficient conditions for an operator defined on $\Theta_F$ to imply series expansions in some of the generating Banach spaces $X_s$. In our main theorems we extend these results and determine conditions on $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ which are necessary and sufficient for the aim of expansions. In particular, in Theorem 3.1 we prove that the existence of a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ which is a $\Theta_k^*$-Bessel sequence for $X_{s_k}^*$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and gives series expansions in $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$ with convergence in $\|\cdot\|_{s_k}$-norm, is equivalent to the existence of an operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ so that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} = f$, $\forall f \in X_F$, and $\|Vd\|_{s_k} \leq C_k |||d|||_k$, $\forall d \in \Theta_F$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for some constants $C_k$. While the continuity of $V$ implies series expansions in $X_F$ and the above boundedness properties of $V$ imply series expansions in all the spaces $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with convergence in $\|.\|_{s_k}$-norm (see Theorem 3.1), in Theorem 3.2 we prove that the continuity property of $V$ is enough to imply the existence of subsequence of $\{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with series expansions. In general, it is not easy to show that a general pre-Fréchet frame is a general Fréchet frame. We devote Section 4 (Theorem 4.1) to this problem. Several examples in Sections 3 and 4 illustrate our investigations.

The paper is organized as follows. The notation used in the paper are recalled in Subsection 1.1. In Section 2 we give the definition of a general pre-Fréchet frame. Further, we give some statements needed for the main theorems of Section 3. Section 3 concerns series expansions via general pre-Fréchet frames. We determine sufficient conditions for a general pre-Fréchet frame to imply series expansions in a Fréchet space and its dual, as well as necessary and sufficient conditions for a general pre-Fréchet frame to imply series expansions in spaces generating the Fréchet space via a sequence with the Bessel properties. Moreover, in Section 3 we define a general Fréchet frame and give an example of a general Fréchet frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ which is not a Fréchet frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ (according to the definition in [6]). In Section 4 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a general pre-Fréchet frame to be a general Fréchet frame.

Concerning the list of references, one can find more information about papers, related to Banach frame expansions, in the bibliography of [6].

## 1.1 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and $(X^*, \|\cdot\|_{X^*})$ is its dual, $(\Theta, |||\cdot|||)$ is a Banach sequence space and $(\Theta^*, |||\cdot|||_{\Theta^*})$ is the dual of $\Theta$. Recall that $\Theta$ is called a *BK-space* if the coordinate functionals on $\Theta$ are continuous. The symbol $e_i$ denotes the $i$-th canonical vector $\{\delta_{ki}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. A $BK$-space $\Theta$ is called a *$\lambda$–BK-space* ($\lambda \geq 1$) if it contains all the canonical vectors and

$$|||\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{n}|||_{\Theta} \leq \lambda |||\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||_{\Theta},\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ \{c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta. \tag{1}$$

A $BK$-space for which the canonical vectors form a Schauder basis, is called a *CB-space*. If $\Theta$ is a $CB$-space, then the space $\Theta^{\circledast} := \{\{g(e_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : g \in \Theta^*\}$ with the norm $|||\{g(e_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||_{\Theta^{\circledast}} := \|g\|_{\Theta^*}$ is a $BK$-space, isometrically isomorphic to

$\Theta^*$ (see [3, p. 201]). From now on, when $\Theta$ is a $CB$-space, we will always identify $\Theta^*$ with $\Theta^{\circledast}$. In the sequel, linear mappings are called operators. Recall that an operator $\mathcal{P} : X \to Z(\subseteq X)$ is called a *projection of* $X$ *onto* $Z$ if $R(P) = Z$ and $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$ (equivalently, if $R(P) = Z$ and $P|_Z = \mathrm{Id}_Z$). The symbol $\mathbb{N}_0$ denotes the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

## 2 Definition of a general pre-Fréchet frame

We begin with a generalization of a $\Theta$-frame.

**Definition 2.1** *Let* $(X_i, \|\cdot\|_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, *be Banach spaces such that* $X_2 \subseteq X_1$, $\|\cdot\|_1 \le \|\cdot\|_2$, *and let* $(\Theta, |||\cdot|||)$ *be a BK-space. The sequence* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in (X_2^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is called an* $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$*-frame with bounds* $A, B$ *if* $0 < A \le B < \infty$ *and for every* $f \in X_2$,

$$\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad A\|f\|_1 \le |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}||| \le B\|f\|_2. \tag{2}$$

Note that when $\Theta$ is a $BK$-space, the validity of the upper inequality in (2) for every $f \in X_2$ (i.e., $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ being a $\Theta$-Bessel sequence for $X_2$) implies that $g_i$ must be bounded on $X_2$. When $X_1 = X_2 = X$, then an $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$-frame becomes a $\Theta$-frame for $X$.

We give a generalization of [7, Theorem 3.3(ii)].

**Proposition 2.2** *Let* $(X_i, \|\cdot\|_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, *be Banach spaces such that* $X_2 \subseteq X_1$ *and* $\|\cdot\|_1 \le \|\cdot\|_2$. *Let* $(\Theta, |||\cdot|||)$ *be a* $\lambda$*–BK-space,* $W$ *be a dense subset of* $X_2$ *and* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in (X_2^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$. *If (2) holds for all* $f \in W$, *then* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ *is an* $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$*-frame with bounds* $A, \lambda B$.

**Proof.** By [7, Theorem 3.3(i)], it follows that

$$\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta \ \text{ and } \ |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||_\Theta \le \lambda B\|f\|_2, \ \forall x \in X_2.$$

For the lower inequality, take $f \in X_2 \setminus W$ and a sequence $\{f_n\} \in W^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f_n \to f$ when $n \to \infty$ in $\|\cdot\|_2$-norm (and hence, in $\|\cdot\|_1$-norm). Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} |||\{g_i(f_n)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}||| = |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||$, it follows that $A\|f\|_1 \le |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||$. □

Now we define a general pre-Fréchet frame. Let $\{Y_s, |\cdot|_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces such that

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \ne \cap_{s\in\mathbb{N}_0} Y_s \subseteq \ldots \subseteq Y_2 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq Y_0 \tag{3}$$

$$|\cdot|_0 \le |\cdot|_1 \le |\cdot|_2 \le \ldots \tag{4}$$

$$Y_F := \cap_{s\in\mathbb{N}_0} Y_s \ \text{ is dense in } \ Y_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5}$$

Then $Y_F$ is a Fréchet space with the sequence of norms $|\cdot|_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We will use such sequences in two cases:

1. $Y_s = X_s$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$;
2. $Y_s = \Theta_s$ with norm $|||\cdot|||_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

**Definition 2.3** *Let $X_F$ be a Fréchet space determined by the separable Banach spaces $X_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, satisfying (3)-(5), and let $\Theta_F$ be a Fréchet space determined by the $BK$-spaces $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, satisfying (3)-(5). A sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in (X_F^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a general pre-Fréchet frame (in short, general pre-$F$-frame) for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ if there exist sequences $\{\widetilde{s}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0, \{s_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ which increase to $\infty$ with the property $s_k \leq \widetilde{s}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and there exist constants $B_k, A_k > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, satisfying*

$$\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F \quad \text{and} \quad A_k \|f\|_{s_k} \leq |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}|||_k \leq B_k \|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_k}, \ f \in X_F. \quad (6)$$

The above definition reduces to the definition of a pre-$F$-frame in [6, Def. 2.3] if the sequences $\{s_k\}$ and $\{\widetilde{s}_k\}$ coincide. We give the definition of a general $F$-frame after Theorem 3.1. We will use the names *strict pre-$F$-frame* and *strict $F$-frame* in the cases considered in [6] (when $\{s_k\}$ and $\{\widetilde{s}_k\}$ coincide).

**Remark 2.4** *Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ according to Definition 2.3. One can see that every subsequences $\{X_{p_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{X_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\Theta_{q_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\Theta_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ have suitable sub-subsequences so that (6) holds with the same $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and corresponding sub-subsequences of norms.*

In the sequel, when we consider a general pre-$F$-frame $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$, we always assume that $X_F$ is determined by the sequence $\{X_s, \|\cdot\|_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of Banach spaces satisfying (3)-(5), $\Theta_F$ is determined by a sequence $\{\Theta_s, |||\cdot|||_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of $BK$-spaces satisfying (3)-(5), and $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ fulfills (6).

**Remark 2.5** *Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the functional $g_i$ has a unique continues extension on $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$ which will be denoted by $g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}$. By Proposition 2.2, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the sequence $\{g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an $(X_{s_k}, \Theta_k, X_{\widetilde{s}_k})$-frame. Thus, we can consider operators*

$$U_k : X_{\widetilde{s}_k} \to \Theta_k, \qquad U_k f = \{g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (7)$$

$$U : X_F \to \Theta_F, \qquad Uf = \{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}. \quad (8)$$

*Clearly, they are injective and continuous.*

**Proposition 2.6** *Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in (X_F^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$. Then the following holds.*

(i) *The range $R(U)$ of the operator $U$, defined by (8), is closed in $\Theta_F$ and the inverse operator $U^{-1} : R(U) \to X_F$ is continuous.*

(ii) *The existence of a continuous projection $\mathcal{P}$ from $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$ (i.e. $R(U)$ being complemented in $\Theta_F$) is equivalent to the existence of a continuous operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ so that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} = f$ for all $f \in X_F$.*

**Proof.** (i) Let $f_n \in X_F, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\{Uf_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converge to some $b = (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F$ in $\Theta_F$ when $n \to \infty$. Fix an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The lower inequality in (6) implies that $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in $X_{s_k}$ when $n \to \infty$ and thus, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in $X_F$ to some element $a \in X_F$. Furthermore, the upper inequality in (6) implies that $\{Uf_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $Ua \in R(U)$ in $\Theta_F$. Therefore, $R(U)$ is closed in $\Theta_F$. The continuity of $U^{-1}$ is easy to see.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a continues projection from $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$. This implies that the operator $V$ defined by $V = U^{-1}\mathcal{P} : \Theta_F \to X_F$ is also continues. Clearly, $V$ is an extension of $U^{-1}$.

Conversely, let $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ be continuous and such that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$, $\forall f \in X_F$. Then the operator $\mathcal{P} := UV$ is a continuous projection from $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$. $\square$

**Remark 2.7** *Note that the assumption* $s_k \to \infty$ *is essentially used in Proposition 2.6 to prove that* $R(U)$ *is closed in* $\Theta_F$. *If* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_2^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is an* $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$*-frame, then the range of the operator* $\widetilde{U} : X_2 \to \Theta, \widetilde{U}f := \{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, *is not necessarily closed in* $\Theta$. *For example, consider* $X_1 = \ell^q, \Theta = \ell^2, X_2 = \ell^p$ *for some* $1 < p < 2 < q < \infty$. *Let* $g_i$ *be the* $i$*-th coordinate functional on* $\ell^p$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. *For every* $c = \{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^p$, $\|c\|_{\ell^q} \le |||\{g_i(c)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_{\ell^2} \le \|c\|_{\ell^p}$, *and thus* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *is an* $(\ell^q, \ell^2, \ell^p)$*-frame. Furthermore,* $R(\widetilde{U})$ *coincides with* $\ell^p$ *as sets and thus,* $R(\widetilde{U})$ *is not closed in* $\ell^2$. *Note that if* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_2^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is an* $(X_1, \Theta, X_2)$*-frame and* $R(\widetilde{U})$ *is closed in* $\Theta$, *then* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *must satisfy the lower* $\Theta$*-frame inequality for* $X_2$ *and thus* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *must be a* $\Theta$*-frame for* $X_2$.

# 3 Expansions

In this section we are interested in series expansions via general pre-$F$-frames. First note that if $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ such that every $f \in X_F$ can be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i$ with convergence in $X_F$, then clearly one can define the operator $V : R(U)(\subseteq \Theta_F) \to X_F$ by $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ and $V$ must be continuous. Below we continue with sufficient (resp. necessary and sufficient) conditions for the existence of series expansions in $X_F$ and in the generating Banach spaces $X_s$.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let* $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *be a general pre-*$F$*-frame for* $X_F$ *with respect to* $\Theta_F$.

(a) *Let* $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *be CB-spaces, and let there exist a continuous operator* $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ *so that* $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ *for all* $f \in X_F$. *Then there exists a sequence* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i, \ f \in X_F, \ \text{(in } X_F\text{)}, \tag{9}$$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g(f_i) g_i, \ g \in X_F^*, \ \text{(in } X_F^*\text{)}. \tag{10}$$

(b) *Let* $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *be CB-spaces. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

$\mathcal{A}_1$ : *There exists an operator* $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ *so that* $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$, $\forall f \in X_F$, *and for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *there is a constant* $C_k > 0$ *satisfying* $\|Vd\|_{s_k} \leq C_k |||d|||_k$ *for all* $d \in \Theta_F$.

$\mathcal{A}_2$ : *There exists* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *is a* $\Theta_k^*$*-Bessel sequence for* $X_{s_k}^*$, *and (9) holds.*

$\mathcal{A}_3$ : *There exists* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *is a* $\Theta_k^*$*-Bessel sequence for* $X_{s_k}^*$, *and*

$$f \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) f_i \text{ in } \|.\|_{s_k}\text{-norm}, \; f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}. \tag{11}$$

*In particular, the equivalent conditions* $\mathcal{A}_1$*-*$\mathcal{A}_3$ *imply validity of (9)-(11) with a same sequence* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \{Ve_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

(c) *Let* $\Theta_s$ *and* $\Theta_s^*$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *be CB-spaces. Then* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *is equivalent to*

$\mathcal{A}_4$ : *There exists* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$, *such that for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *is an* $(X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, \Theta_k^*, X_{s_k}^*)$*-frame, and*

$$g|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g(f_i) g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k} \text{ in } \|.\|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*}\text{-norm}, \; g \in X_{s_k}^*. \tag{12}$$

*In particular, the equivalent conditions* $\mathcal{A}_1$*-*$\mathcal{A}_4$ *imply validity of (9)-(12) with a same sequence* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \{Ve_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

**Proof.** (a) Let $f_i = Ve_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$. By the assumptions, one can write, in $\Theta_F$, $Uf = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f)e_i$, $f \in X_F$. For every $f \in X_F$, the continuity of $V$ implies that $V\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(f)e_i\right) \to VUf = f$ in $X_F$ as $n \to \infty$, and this gives (9) and (10).

(b) $\mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$: Assume that $\mathcal{A}_1$ holds. Let $f_i = Ve_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. First observe that $V$ is continuous and hence, by (a), the representations (9) and (10) hold. Fix an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The operator $V$ has a bounded linear extension $V_k : \Theta_k \to X_{s_k}$ and we can consider the bounded operator $V_k U_k : X_{\widetilde{s}_k} \to X_{s_k}$. Since $V_k U_k f = f$ for every $f \in X_F$, $X_F$ is dense in $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$ and $\|.\|_{s_k} \leq \|.\|_{\widetilde{s}_k}$, it follows that $V_k U_k f = f$ for every $f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$. Let $f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$. Then $\{g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_k$ (see Remark 2.5) and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) f_i = V_k\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) e_i\right) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} V_k U_k f = f \text{ in } \|.\|_{s_k}.$$

It is clear that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\Theta_k^*$-Bessel sequence for $X_{s_k}^*$.

$\mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$: Assume that $\mathcal{A}_2$ holds. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\Theta_k^*$-Bessel sequence for $X_{s_k}^*$, it follows that the synthesis operator $T_k$ given by $T_k\{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i f_i$ is well defined (and bounded) from $\Theta_k$ into $X_{s_k}$ [1]. For $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i f_i$ converges in $X_{s_k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and thus, it converges in $X_F$. Then we can consider the operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ defined by $V\{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i f_i$. For every $d \in \Theta_F$,

$$\|Vd\|_{s_k} = \|T_k d\|_{s_k} \le \|T_k\| \cdot |||d|||_k, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Further, the validity of (9) implies that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ for all $f \in X_F$. Clearly, $Ve_i = f_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\mathcal{A}_3 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$: Assume that $\mathcal{A}_3$ holds. The representations in (11) imply that $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i$ in $\|.\|_{s_k}$-norm for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $f \in X_F$, which implies that (9) holds.

(c) $\mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4$: Assume that $\mathcal{A}_1$ holds. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be given as in (b) and fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\Theta_k^*$-Bessel sequence for $X_{s_k}^*$. Therefore the synthesis operator $\widetilde{T}_k$ given by $\widetilde{T}_k\{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}$ is well defined and bounded from $\Theta_k^*$ into $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*$ (see [1]). Let $g \in X_{s_k}^*$. For every $f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$, it follows by (b) that $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) f_i \to f$ in $\|\cdot\|_{s_k}$-norm when $n \to \infty$, which implies that $g(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) f_i) \to g(f)$ when $n \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*} &= \sup_{f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}, \|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_k} \le 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) g(f_i) \right| = \sup_{f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}, \|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_k} \le 1} |\widetilde{T}_k\{g(f_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty(f)| \\
&\le \|\widetilde{T}_k\| \, |||\{g(f_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_{\Theta_k^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an $(X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, \Theta_k^*, X_{s_k}^*)$-frame.

To prove (12), denote the canonical basis of $\Theta_k^*$ by $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Let $g \in X_{s_k}^*$. Then $g|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}} \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}} - \sum_{i=1}^n g(f_i) g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}\|_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*} &= \sup_{f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}, \|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_k} \le 1} |\sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) g(f_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n g(f_i) g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f)| \\
&\le \|\widetilde{T}_k\| \, ||| \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty g(f_i)\delta_i |||_{\Theta_k^*} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{A}_4 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_3$: Assume that $\mathcal{A}_4$ holds. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $B_k$ denote a $\Theta_k^*$-Bessel bound for $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Fix an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For every $f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
||f - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{\widetilde{s}_k}(f) f_i||_{s_k} &= \sup_{g \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, ||g||_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*}=1} |g(f) - \sum_{i=1}^n g(f_i) g_i(f)| \\
&= \sup_{g \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, ||g||_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*}=1} |\sum_{i=1}^\infty g(f_i) g_i(f) - \sum_{i=1}^n g(f_i) g_i(f)| \\
&= \sup_{g \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, ||g||_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*}=1} |\sum_{i=n+1}^\infty g(f_i) g_i(f)| \\
&\leq \sup_{g \in X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*, ||g||_{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}^*}=1} |||\{g(f_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_{\Theta_k^*} \; ||| \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty g_i(f) e_i |||_k \\
&\leq B_k \; ||| \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty g_i(f) e_i |||_k \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (11) holds. $\square$

Theorem 3.1 extends [6, Theorem 5.3] and improves the formulation of [6, Theorem 5.3](a), where it is silently assumed that $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are $CB$-spaces.

As one can see in Theorem 3.1, the continuity property of the operator $V$ implies series expansions in $X_F$, while some boundedness properties of $V$ imply series expansions in all the spaces $X_{\widetilde{s}_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with convergence in $\|.\|_{s_k}$-norm. Below we prove that the continuity property of $V$ is enough to imply the existence of a subsequence $\{X_{\widetilde{w}_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{X_{\widetilde{s}_k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that one has series expansions in $X_{\widetilde{w}_j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with convergence in appropriate norms.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a general pre-F-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ and let $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be $CB$-spaces. Assume that there exists a continuous operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ so that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ for all $f \in X_F$. Then there exist sequences $\{w_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{r_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{\widetilde{w}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ which increase to $\infty$ and there exist constants $\widetilde{A}_j, \widetilde{B}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\widetilde{A}_j \|f\|_{w_j} \leq |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_{r_j} \leq \widetilde{B}_j \|f\|_{\widetilde{w}_j}, \ \forall f \in X_F.$$

*Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\Theta_{r_j}^*$-Bessel sequence for $X_{w_j}^*$ and*

$$f \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i^{\widetilde{w}_j}(f) f_i \text{ in } \|.\|_{w_j}\text{-norm}, \ f \in X_{\widetilde{w}_j}.$$

**Proof.** Assume that $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ is a continuous operator satisfying $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$, $\forall f \in X_F$. Then

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ \exists p_k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \exists C_k \text{ so that } \|Vd\|_{s_k} \leq C_k \, |||d|||_{p_k}, \forall d \in \Theta_F. \tag{13}$$

Consider the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by $n_k = \max(k, p_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Clearly, the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is not bounded. Take a strictly increasing subsequence $\{n_{k_j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. Let $q \in \{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Since $n_q \geq q$, (6) implies

$$A_q\|f\|_{s_q} \leq |||\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_{n_q} \leq B_{n_q}\|f\|_{\widetilde{s}_{n_q}},\ \forall f \in X_F.$$

Since $n_q \geq p_q$, (13) implies that $\|Vd\|_{s_q} \leq C_q\, |||d|||_{n_q}$, $\forall d \in \Theta_F$. Now Theorem 3.1(b) implies that there exists $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $q \in \{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\Theta^*_{n_q}$-Bessel sequence for $X^*_{s_q}$ and

$$f \quad = \quad \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i^{\widetilde{s}_{n_q}}(f) f_i \text{ in } \|.\|_{s_q}\text{-norm},\ f \in X_{\widetilde{s}_{n_q}}.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, take $\widetilde{A}_j = A_{k_j}$, $\widetilde{B}_j = B_{n_{k_j}}$, $w_j = s_{k_j}$, $r_j = n_{k_j}$, $\widetilde{w}_j = \widetilde{s}_{n_{k_j}}$. $\square$

Motivated by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we give the definition of a general $F$-frame:

**Definition 3.3** *The sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a general Fréchet frame (in short, general $F$-frame) for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ if $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ and there exists a continuous operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ so that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ for all $f \in X_F$.*

We end the section with an example of a general $F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ which is not a strict $F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

**Example 3.4** Let $A$ be a self-adjoint differential operator (for example, one dimensional normalized harmonic oscillator $(-d^2/dx^2 + 1)/2$) with eigenvalues $\lambda_j = j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and eigenfunctions $\psi_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$ (Hermite functions) which make an orthonormal basis of $X_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_s$ be the Hilbert space consisting of $L^2-$functions $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j\psi_j, a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, with the property $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j|^2 j^{2s} < \infty$ and with the inner product

$$\langle\phi_1, \phi_2\rangle_s = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{1,j}\overline{a_{2,j}}j^{2s}.$$

Then $X_F$ is the Fréchet space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions, and its dual is $X_F^* = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, the space of tempered distributions. For the sequence spaces $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we take

$$\{d_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \Theta_s \text{ if and only if } \sum_{j=1}^\infty |d_j|^2 j^{2s} < \infty,$$

with the usual inner product; $\Theta_F$ is the space of rapidly decreasing sequences. Note, the space $\Theta_F$ defined above is actually the space of the type

$$\Lambda_\infty(\alpha) = \left\{ \{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \quad : \quad |||\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty|||_s := \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_j|^2 e^{2s\alpha_j}\right)^{1/2} < \infty,\ \forall s \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

with $\alpha_j = \log j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For more information about the spaces $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ we refer to [5, Sect. 29].

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that

$$|b_j| = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, 3, 5, \ldots; \\ j^r, & j = 2, 4, 6, \ldots. \end{cases}$$

Let $g_j = b_j \psi_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \psi_j \in X_F$. Then $\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$ and

$$||\phi||_s \leq |||\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^\infty|||_s = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j b_j|^2 j^{2s}} \leq ||\phi||_{s+r}, s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus, $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$. Let the operator $U$ be given by (8). Observe that $R(U) = \Theta_F$. Therefore, $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a general $F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

Furthermore, we will show that $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is not a strict pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$. Conversely, assume that there exist constants $A_s \in (0, \infty)$, $B_s \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and a sequence $\{n_s\}_{s=0}^\infty$, satisfying

$$A_s ||\phi||_{n_s} \leq |||\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^\infty|||_s \leq B_s ||\phi||_{n_s}, \forall \phi \in X_F. \tag{14}$$

Fix an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If $n_s \leq s$, then (14) applied to $\psi_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, implies that $|b_j| \leq B_s j^{n_s - s} \leq B_s$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which leads to a contradiction.

If $n_s > s$, then (14) applied to $\psi_j$, $j$ - odd, implies that $A_s \leq j^{s-n_s}$ for all odd $j$, which leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, (14) can not hold.

Note that if the sequence $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is defined by $|b_j| = j^r, j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $|||\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^\infty|||_s = ||\phi||_{s+r}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\phi \in X_F$, and the sequence $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a strict pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

# 4 On the existence of a continuous projection from $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$

Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$. As it is shown in Section 3, the existence of a continuous operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ such that $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ for all $f \in X_F$ is important for series expansions in $X_F$ and in some of the generating Banach spaces (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). Here we consider equivalences of this condition. Thus, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a general pre-Fréchet frame to be a general Fréchet frame.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$ and let $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be $CB$-spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a continuous operator* $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ *so that* $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = f$ *for all* $f \in X_F$.

(ii) *There exists* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* $\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i f_i$ *converges in* $X_F$ *for every* $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$ *and (9) holds.*

(iii) *There exist* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (X_F)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *and sequences* $\{w_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{r_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, *such that* $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ *is a* $\Theta_{r_j}^*$*-Bessel sequence for* $X_{w_j}^*$ *for every* $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and (9) holds.*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the one of [1, Prop. 3.4], extending it to the Fréchet case.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_i = Ve_i$. For every $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$, $V(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i) \to V(\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$ in $X_F$ as $n \to \infty$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i f_i$ is convergent in $X_F$. Furthermore, for every $f \in X_F$, $f = V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume that (ii) holds and consider the operator $V : \Theta_F \to X_F$ defined by $V(\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i f_i$, $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$. Fix an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the operator $V_N : \Theta_F \to X_F$ defined by $V_N(\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i$, $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the $i$-th coordinate functional on $\Theta_k$ by $E_i^k$ and observe that for every $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V_N(\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)\|_{s_k} &= \|\sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i\|_{s_k} \le \sum_{i=1}^N |c_i| \cdot \|f_i\|_{s_k} \\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^N \|E_i^k\| \cdot |||\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_k \cdot \|f_i\|_{s_k} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \|E_i^k\| \cdot \|f_i\|_{s_k} \right) |||\{c_i\}_{i=1}^\infty|||_k,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $V_N$ is continuous on $\Theta_F$. Now the Principle of Uniform Boundedness (see [2, II.1.17]) implies that $V$ is continuous. Furthermore, for every $f \in X_F$, $V\{g_i(f)\}_{i=1}^\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(f) f_i = f$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.1(a)(b), it follows that the sequence $f_i = Ve_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, fulfills the required properties.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Theta_F$. Similar to the proof of ($\mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$) in Theorem 3.1, the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i f_i$ converges in $X_{\omega_j}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and thus, it converges in $X_F$. $\Box$

Recall that Proposition 2.6(ii) contains one more equivalent condition of Theorem 4.1(i), namely, the existence of a continuous projection of $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$. In Example 3.4 we constructed a general pre-$F$-frame with $R(U) = \Theta_F$ and thus it was automatically a general $F$-frame. In Example 4.2 below we construct a general pre-$F$-frame with $R(U) \subsetneq \Theta_F$ and show the existence of a continuous projection of $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$.

**Example 4.2** Let $\psi_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Theta_s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be defined as in Example 3.4. Let $X_0$ be the closed linear span of the functions $\psi_{2k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For

$s \in \mathbb{N}$, define $X_s$ to be the space of those $L^2-$functions $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \psi_{2j}$ $(\in X_0)$, $a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, with the property $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 (2j)^{2s} < \infty$ and with the inner product

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_s = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{1,j} \overline{a_{2,j}} (2j)^{2s}.$$

Then $\{X_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces which satisfies (3)-(5).

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let the sequence $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be defined by

$$b_1 = b_2 = 1, b_3 = b_4 = 4^r, b_5 = b_6 = 1, b_7 = b_8 = 8^r, \ldots .$$

Define

$$\{g_j\} := \{b_1 \psi_2, b_2 \psi_2, b_3 \psi_4, b_4 \psi_4, b_5 \psi_6, b_6 \psi_6, \ldots\}.$$

Let $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \psi_j \in X_F$. Then $\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F$ and

$$||\phi||_s \leq |||\{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}|||_s \leq \sqrt{2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j b_{2j}|^2 (2j)^{2s}} \leq \sqrt{2} ||\phi||_{s+r}, s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus, $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a general pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

Let the operator $U$ be given by (8). Clearly, $R(U) \subsetneq \Theta_F$. We will prove that $R(U)$ is complemented in $\Theta_F$. Consider the operator $P$ defined on $\Theta_F$ by

$$P(\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}) := \{d_2, d_2, d_4, d_4, d_6, d_6, \ldots\}, \ \{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F.$$

Fix an arbitrary $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F$. Let

$$\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} := \left\{ d_2, \frac{d_4}{4^r}, d_6, \frac{d_8}{8^r}, d_{10}, \frac{d_{12}}{12^r}, \ldots \right\} \ \text{ and } \ \phi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \psi_{2j} \text{ in } L^2.$$

Clearly, $\phi \in X_F$ and $P\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} = \{g_j(\phi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Hence, $R(P) \subseteq R(U)$. For every $\phi \in X_F$, one has $U\phi \in \Theta_F$ and $U\phi = P(U\phi)$. Therefore, $R(U) = R(P)$ and $P(= P^2)$ is a projection of $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$. Further on, for every $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \Theta_F$,

$$|||P(\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})|||_s^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_{2j}|^2 (2j)^{2s} \leq 2 |||\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}|||_2.$$

Thus, $P$ is continuous and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a general $F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

Furthermore, in a similar way as in Example 3.4 one can show that $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is not a strict pre-$F$-frame for $X_F$ with respect to $\Theta_F$.

In Example 4.2, the space $\Theta_F$ is of the type $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ and $R(U)$ is closed in $\Theta_F$ by Proposition 2.6. In this example we prove that $R(U)$ is complemented in $\Theta_F$ by a direct construction of a continuous projection of $\Theta_F$ onto $R(U)$.

For another necessary and sufficient condition for $R(U)$ to be complemented in $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$, we refer to [5, Section 30, Exercise 2]:

*"A closed subspace $E$ of $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ is complemented in $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ if and only if $E$ has the property $(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)/E$ has the property (DN)."*

For the definitions of the properties $(\Omega)$ and (DN), see [5, Sect. 29].

**Acknowledgments** The second author is grateful for the hospitality of the University of Novi Sad, where most of the work on the paper was done.

# References

[1] P.G. Casazza, O. Christensen, D.T. Stoeva, Frame expansions in separable Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307 (2005) 710–723.

[2] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory, Vol. VII of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.

[3] L.V. Kantorovich, G.P. Akilov, Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces, Pergamon press, New York, 1964.

[4] L.A. Lusternik, V.J. Sobolev, Elements of Functional Analysis, Hindustan Publ. Corporation, Delhi, and John Wiley & Sons, 1974.

[5] R. Meise, D. Vogt, Introduction to Functional Analysis, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.

[6] S. Pilipović, D.T. Stoeva, Series expansions in Fréchet spaces and their duals, construction of Fréchet frames, Journal of Approximation Theory 163 (2011) 1729–1747.

[7] S. Pilipović, D.T. Stoeva, N. Teofanov, Frames for Fréchet spaces, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. 32 (2007) 69–84.

S. Pilipović
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Novi Sad
Trg D. Obradovića 4
21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
stevan.pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs

D. T. Stoeva
Acoustics Research Institute
Wohllebengasse 12-14
Vienna A-1040, Austria
dstoeva@kfs.oeaw.ac.at